## THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE

## ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Name	Craig Forsyth	Clid		Rank	Professor	College	Liberal Arts	Department	SOCI ANTH CAFS	Workload Track	2	Evaluat	tion Perio	d <u>201</u>	.6
Directions.	his is for evaluating the kload Policy in the	ng faculty	members in the	neir roles a	educators, un	niversity citizens,	and members of a	learned professo	rate. The evaluation is performe tivities; note areas of strong pe	ed by the department h	nead/uni	t directi	or, in the o	ontext o	of the
		Componen		och releva	le drea, the an	incredu is to prov	ide di evaluative		ngths/Recommendations	trormance and those in	Theed of	1	ating Pe	rcent V	/alue
Instruction (formal credit courses and other pedagogical activities; Evidenced by achievement of learning outcomes e.g., classroom materials, innovation, learning technologies, level and type of classes taught, evaluation by students (SEI) and others, faculty/student relations.						ity Summary:	The second secon					ıd			
Demonstrates cooperation and collaboration in course and curriculum development e.g., fairness, effective mentoring.						ths/Weakness:	Carrie Se z								
Research and Scholarship (basic or applied research, creative endeavors, performances and/or related activities)						ty Summary:						4			
Evidenced by peer review; e.g. publications, presentations, grants, performances;															
Demonstrates apt balance of independent and collaborative efforts e.g., respect for colleagues; mentoring new researchers and scholars.						ths/Weakness:	Sinternation				77				
Service (department, college, or university committees; community development, and professional organizations, formal and informal advising) Evidenced by active engagement e.g., faculty mentoring, recruitment, and organizational responsibilities. Demonstrates leadership e.g., cooperation, and positive attitude.  Advising and Student Life (if applicable) evidenced by work that enhances the educational experiences of students, e.g., advising, mentor, advisor to student organizations, student engagement.					Streng	ty Summary: ths/Weakness:	4						200		
						nmendations:				A Company					
Administration (Applicable only to faculty members with administrative appointments with formal release-time)  Administers effectively; creates supportive culture; demonstrates						ty Summary: ths/Weakness:									
tolerance of difference; implements consultive decision-making; fosters the unit's goal-focused performance; etc.  Demonstrates leadership, efficient and effective management,						nmendations:									
effective com	munication, and r	mentoring.								and the second s					
Rating Scale (to be used in conjunction with college and/or departments 5 = Exceptional—distinction, extraordinary productivity/performance be 4 = Exceeds expectations—high quality, performance/productivity that c 3 = Meets expectations—quality, performance/productivity can be stren 2 = Needs Improvement—requires improvement in one or more areas 1 = Unsatisfactory performance—requires significant improvement in one						eyond annual expectations can be sustained annually ngthened and sustained annually			t Head/Unit Director	) 4/4	To / 17	tals	Rating		
Acknowledgment. My signature below indicates that I have seen this for head or director (including the recommended merit category) but does reduced the subject of the Administration and that I may submit, by the deadline indicated in the Administration and that my states perfore it is transmitted to the dean.						rm after it has been completed by my unit not imply my agreement with this evaluation. strative Calendar, a written statement of any			Judh 4 17.17  Dear 10 10 10			7	Rating		
Faculty Member Date									for Academic Affairs	Nonaho	ate 4	1117 F	Rating	7	